arXiv:1602.03089v1 [physics.acc-ph] 9 Feb 2016

MAIN PARAMETERS OF LC⊗FCC BASED ELECTRON-PROTON COLLIDERS

Y. C. Acar* and B. B. Oner†

TOBB University of Economics and Technology, Ankara, Turkey

U. Kaya‡

TOBB University of Economics and Technology, Ankara, Turkey and

Department of Physics, Faculty of Sciences,

Ankara University, Ankara, Turkey

S. Sultansoy§

TOBB University of Economics and Technology, Ankara, Turkey and

ANAS Institute of Physics, Baku, Azerbaijan

Abstract

Multi-TeV center of mass energy ep colliders based on the Future Circular Collider (FCC) and linear colliders (LC) are proposed and corresponding luminosity values are estimated. Parameters of upgraded versions of the FCC are determined to optimize luminosity of electron-proton collisions keeping beam-beam effects in mind. It is shown that $L_{ep} \sim 10^{32}\, cm^{-2} s^{-1}$ can be achieved with moderate upgrade of the FCC parameters.

*Electronic address: ycacar@etu.edu.tr

†Electronic address: b.oner@etu.edu.tr

‡Electronic address: ukaya@etu.edu.tr

§Electronic address: ssultansoy@etu.edu.tr

I. INTRODUCTION

Our knowledge on deep inside of matter has been essentially provided by means of lepton-hadron collisions. For example, electron scattering on atomic nuclei reveals structure of nucleons in Hofstadter experiment [1]. Moreover, quark parton model was originated from lepton hadron-collisions [2]. Extending the kinematic region by two orders of magnitude both in high Q^2 and small x, HERA with $\sqrt{s} = 0.32$ TeV has shown its superiority compared to the fixed target experiments and provided parton distribution functions (PDF) for LHC experiments. Unfortunately, the region of sufficiently small x ($< 10^{-6}$) and high Q^2 ($\geq 10\,GeV^2$), where saturation of parton densities should manifest itself, has not been reached yet. Hopefully, LHeC [3] $\sqrt{s} = 1.3$ TeV will give opportunity to investigate this region. Construction of linear e^+e^-colliders (or special linacs) and muon colliders tangential to the future circular collider (FCC) as shown in Fig. 1 will give opportunity to achieve multi-TeV center of mass energy in lepton-hadron collisions [4].



Figure 1: Possible configuration for FCC, linear collider (LC) and muon collider (μC).

FCC is the future 100 TeV center-of-mass energy pp collider proposed at CERN and supported by European Union within the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme for Research and Innovation. Main parameters of the FCC pp option [5] are presented in Table I. The FCC also includes an electron-positron collider option at the same tunnel (TLEP) [6], as well as several ep collider options [7].

Table I: Main parameters of proton beams in FCC.

Beam Energy (TeV)	50
Peak Luminosity ($10^{34}\,cm^{-2}s^{-1}$)	5.6
Particle per Bunch (10^{10})	10
Norm. Transverse Emittance (μm)	2.2
β^* amplitude function at IP (m)	1.1
IP beam size (μm)	6.8
Bunches per Beam	10600
Bunch Spacing (ns)	25
Bunch length (mm)	80
Beam-beam tune shift	5.6×10^{-3}

Energy recovery linac (ERL) with $E_e = 60\,GeV$ is chosen as the main option for LHeC. Same ERL can also be used for FCC based ep collider [7]. Concerning e-ring in the FCC tunnel [7] energy of electrons is limited ($E_e < 200\,GeV$) due to large synchrotron radiation. Higher electron energies can be handled only by constructing linear colliders tangential to the FCC. For the first time this approach was proposed for UNK⊗VLEPP based ep colliders [8]. Then, construction of TESLA tangential to HERA was considered [9]. This line was followed by consideration of the LC⊗LHC ep collider proposals (see reviews [10, 11] and references therein).

In this paper, we consider main parameters of the LC⊗FCC based ep colliders. In numerical calculations, we use parameters of ILC (International Linear Collider) [12] and PWFA-LC (Plasma Wake Field Accelerator - Linear Collider) [13]. In Section 2 we estimate L_{ep} taking into account beam-beam tune shift and disruption effects. Finally, recommendations and conclusions are presented in Section 3.

II. LC⊗FCC BASED *ep* COLLIDERS

General expression for luminosity of LC⊗FCC based ep colliders is given by:

$$L_{ep} = \frac{N_e N_p}{4\pi max[\sigma_{x_p}, \sigma_{x_e}] max[\sigma_{y_p}, \sigma_{y_e}]} min[f_{c_p}, f_{c_e}] \quad (1)$$

where N_e and N_p are numbers of electrons and protons per bunch, respectively; σ_{x_p} (σ_{x_e}) and σ_{y_p} (σ_{y_e}) are the horizontal and vertical proton (electron) beam sizes at IP; f_{c_e} and f_{c_p} are LC and FCC bunch collision frequencies. f_c is expressed by $f_c = N_b f_{rep}$, where N_b denotes number of bunches, f_{rep} means revolution frequency for FCC and pulse frequency for LC. In order to determine collision frequency of ep collider, minimum value should be chosen among electron and proton collision frequencies. Some of these parameters can be rearranged in order to maximize L_{ep} but one should note that there are some main limitations that should be considered. One of these limitations is electron beam power, however only parameters of FCC proton beam is rearranged in this study and only nominal parameters of linear colliders are considered. Therefore, there is no change of electron beam power due to upgrades. Other limitations for linac-ring type ep colliders are due to beam-beam effects. While beam-beam tune shift affects proton beams, disruption has influence on electron beams. Beam-beam tune shift for proton beams is given by:

$$\xi_{x_p} = \frac{N_e r_p \beta_p^*}{2\pi\gamma_p \sigma_{x_e}(\sigma_{x_e} + \sigma_{y_e})} \quad (2.1)$$

$$\xi_{y_p} = \frac{N_e r_p \beta_p^*}{2\pi\gamma_p \sigma_{y_e}(\sigma_{y_e} + \sigma_{x_e})} \quad (2.2)$$

where r_p is classical radius of proton, β_p^* is beta function of proton beam at interaction point (IP), γ_p is the Lorentz factor of proton beam. σ_{x_e} and σ_{y_e} are horizontal and vertical sizes of electron beam at IP, respectively. Disruption parameter for electron beam is given by:

$$D_{x_e} = \frac{2\,N_p r_e \sigma_{z_p}}{\gamma_e \sigma_{x_p}(\sigma_{x_p} + \sigma_{y_p})} \quad (3.1)$$

$$D_{y_e} = \frac{2\,N_p r_e \sigma_{z_p}}{\gamma_e \sigma_{y_p}(\sigma_{y_p} + \sigma_{x_p})} \quad (3.2)$$

where r_e is classical radius of electron, γ_e is the Lorentz factor of electron beam, σ_{x_p} and σ_{y_p} are horizontal and vertical proton beam sizes at IP, respectively. σ_{z_p} is bunch length of proton beam.

Considering ILC⊗FCC and PWFA-LC⊗FCC options, one should note that bunch spacing of electron accelerators are always greater than FCC, while proton beam sizes are always greater than the electron beam sizes at IP. Details and parameters of electron beam accelerators are given in further subsections. In numerical calculations, we use tranversely matched electron and proton beams at IP. Keeping in mind roundness of FCC proton beam, Eqs (1)-(3) turn into;

$$L_{ep} = \frac{N_e N_p}{4\pi\sigma_p^2} f_{c_e} \quad (4)$$

$$\xi_p = \frac{N_e r_p \beta_p^*}{4\pi\gamma_p\sigma_p^2} \quad (5)$$

$$D_e = \frac{N_p r_e \sigma_{z_p}}{\gamma_e \sigma_p^2} \quad (6)$$

In order to increase luminosity of ep collisions moderate upgrade of the FCC proton beam parameters have been used. Namely, number of proton per bunch is increased 2.2 times, β-function of proton beam at IP is arranged to be 11 times lower (0.1 m instead of 1.1 m) which corresponds to THERA and LHeC designs. Therefore, IP beam size of proton beam, σ_p, is decreased 3.3 times according to the relation $\sigma_p = \sqrt{\varepsilon_p^N \beta_p^*/\gamma_p}$. Details of the parameter calculations for ep colliders are given in further subsections.

A. ILC⊗FCC

Main parameters of ILC electron beam are given in Table II [12]. One can see from the table that bunch spacing of ILC is 554 ns which is about 22 times greater than FCC bunch spacing of 25 ns. Therefore, most of the proton bunches coming from FCC ring accelerator would be dissipated unless parameters of FCC is rearranged. For FCC, the parameter N_p can be increased while number of bunches is decreased regarding the dissipation. Transverse beam size of proton is much greater than transverse beam size of electron for ILC⊗FCC. If beam sizes are matched, this leads L_{ep} to decrease since luminosity is inversely proportional

to σ_p^2 as can be seen from Eq. (4). To increase luminosity, upgraded value of β_p^* parameter is set to be 0.1 m and therefore σ_p to be 2.05 μm. Calculated values of L_{ep}, D_e and ξ_p parameters for ILC⊗FCC based ep colliders with both nominal and upgraded FCC proton beam cases are given in Table 3. In addition in Table 4, disruption parameter is fixed at the limit value of $D_e = 25$ and corresponding N_p and L_{ep} values are given.

Table II: Main parameters of electron beams in ILC.

Beam Energy (TeV)	250	500
Peak Luminosity ($10^{34}\, cm^{-2}s^{-1}$)	1.47	4.90
Particle per Bunch (10^{10})	2.00	1.74
Norm. Horizontal Emittance (μm)	10.0	10.0
Norm. Vertical Emittance (nm)	35.0	30.0
Horizontal β* amplitude function at IP (mm)	11.0	11.0
Vertical β* amplitude function at IP (mm)	0.48	0.23
Horizontal IP beam size (nm)	474	335
Vertical IP beam size (nm)	5.90	2.70
Bunches per Beam	1312	2450
Repetition Rate (Hz)	5.00	4.00
Beam Power at IP (MW)	10.5	27.2
Bunch Spacing (ns)	554	366
Bunch length (mm)	0.300	0.225

Table III: Main parameters of ILC⊗FCC based ep collider.

		Nominal FCC			Upgraded FCC		
$E_e(GeV)$	$\sqrt{s}(TeV)$	$L_{ep} = 10^{30}\, cm^{-2}s^{-1}$	D_e	ξ_p	$L_{ep} = 10^{30}\, cm^{-2}s^{-1}$	D_e	ξ_p
250	7.08	2.26	1.0	1.09×10^{-3}	55.0	24	1.09×10^{-3}
500	10.0	2.94	0.5	9.40×10^{-4}	70.0	12	9.40×10^{-4}

Table IV: Main parameters of ILC⊗FCC based ep collider corresponding to the disruption limit $D_e = 25$.

$E_e(GeV)$	$\sqrt{s}(TeV)$	$N_p(10^{11})$	$L_{ep} = 10^{30} cm^{-2} s^{-1}$	ξ_p
250	7.08	2.3	57	$1.09x10^{-3}$
500	10.0	4.6	149	$9.40x10^{-4}$

B. PWFA-LC⊗FCC

Beam driven plasma wake field technology made a great progress for linear accelerators recently. This method enables an electron beam to obtain high gradients of energy even only propagating through small distances compared to the radio frequency resonance based accelerators [13]. In other words, more compact linear accelerators can be built utilizing PWFA to obtain a specified beam energy. In Table V, main electron beam parameters of PWFA-LC accelerator are listed [13]. As in ILC⊗FCC case, transverse beam size of proton is greater than all PWFA e-beam options. Same upgrade for the proton beam is handled and final values of luminosity, disruption and beam-beam parameters are given in Table VI for both nominal and upgraded FCC proton beam cases. In Table VII, disruption parameter is fixed at the limit value of $D_e = 25$ and corresponding ep collider parameters are given.

Table V: Main parameters of electron beams in PWFA-LC.

Beam Energy (TeV)	125	250	500	1500	5000
Peak Luminosity ($10^{34}\,cm^{-2}s^{-1}$)	0.94	1.25	1.88	3.76	6.27
Particle per Bunch (10^{10})	1	1	1	1	1
Norm. Horizontal Emittance (m)	1.00×10^{-5}	1.00×10^{-5}	1.00×10^{-5}	1.00×10^{-5}	1.00×10^{-5}
Norm. Vertical Emittance (m)	3.50×10^{-8}	3.50×10^{-8}	3.50×10^{-8}	3.50×10^{-8}	3.50×10^{-8}
Horizontal β* function at IP (m)	11×10^{-3}	11×10^{-3}	11×10^{-3}	11×10^{-3}	11×10^{-3}
Veritcal β* function at IP (m)	9.9×10^{-5}	9.9×10^{-5}	9.9×10^{-5}	9.9×10^{-5}	9.9×10^{-5}
Horizontal IP beam size (m)	6.71×10^{-7}	4.74×10^{-7}	3.36×10^{-7}	1.94×10^{-7}	1.06×10^{-7}
Veritfcal IP beam size (m)	3.78×10^{-9}	2.67×10^{-9}	1.89×10^{-9}	1.09×10^{-9}	5.98×10^{-10}
Bunches per Beam	1	1	1	1	1
Repetition Rate (Hz)	30000	20000	15000	10000	5000
Beam Power ar IP (MW)	6	8	12	24	40
Bunch Spacing (ns)	3.33×10^{4}	5.00×10^{4}	6.67×10^{4}	1.00×10^{5}	2.00×10^{5}
Bunch length (m)	2.00×10^{-5}	2.00×10^{-5}	2.00×10^{-5}	2.00×10^{-5}	2.00×10^{-5}

Table VI: Main parameters of PWFA-LC⊗FCC based ep collider.

		Nominal FCC			Upgraded FCC		
$E_e(GeV)$	$\sqrt{s}(TeV)$	$L_{ep}=10^{30}\,cm^{-2}s^{-1}$	D_e	ξ_p	$L_{ep}=10^{30}\,cm^{-2}s^{-1}$	D_e	ξ_p
125	5.00	5.16	2.00	5.47×10^{-4}	124	48	5.47×10^{-4}
250	7.08	3.44	1.00	5.47×10^{-4}	82.6	24	5.47×10^{-4}
500	10.0	2.58	0.50	5.47×10^{-4}	61.9	12	5.47×10^{-4}
1500	17.3	1.72	0.17	5.47×10^{-4}	41.3	4.0	5.47×10^{-4}
5000	31.6	0.86	0.05	5.47×10^{-4}	20.8	1.2	5.47×10^{-4}

Table VII: Main parameters of PWFA-LC⊗FCC based ep collider corresponding to the disruption limit $D_e = 25$.

$E_e(GeV)$	$\sqrt{s}(TeV)$	$N_p(10^{11})$	$L_{ep} = 10^{30} cm^{-2} s^{-1}$	ξ_p	IBS Growth Time (Horizontal) (h)	
					L_c=106.9 m	L_c=203.0 m
125	5.00	1.15	65.0	5.47×10^{-4}	721	149
250	7.08	2.30	86.0	5.47×10^{-4}	360	75.0
500	10.0	4.60	129	5.47×10^{-4}	180	37.0
1500	17.3	13.8	258	5.47×10^{-4}	60.0	12.0
5000	31.6	45.8	433	5.47×10^{-4}	18.0	3.90

As one can see from the third column of the Table VII number of protons in bunches are huge in options corresponding to the highest energy electron beams, therefore one may wonder about IBS growth times. For this reason we estimate horizontal IBS growth times using Wei formula [14]. Obtained results are presented in the last two columns of the Table VII. In numerical calculations we used FODO cell lengths values L_c=106.9 m (same as LHC) and L_c=203.0 m considered in [15]. It is seen that IBS growth times are acceptable even for $E_e = 5000$ GeV case.

III. CONCLUSIONS

In this study it is shown that for ILC⊗FCC and PWFA-LC⊗FCC based ep colliders luminosity values up to $L_{ep} \sim 10^{32}\, cm^{-2} s^{-1}$ are achievable with moderate upgrade of the FCC proton beam. Even with these luminosity values BSM search potential of ep colliders essentially exceeds that of corresponding linear colliders and is comparable with search potential of the FCC pp option for a lot of BSM phenomena. In principle, "dynamic focusing" scheme [16], which was proposed for THERA, could provide $L_{ep} \sim 10^{33}\, cm^{-2} s^{-1}$ for all ep collider options considered in this study. Concerning ILC⊗FCC based ep colliders, a new scheme for energy recovery proposed for higher-energy LHeC (see Section 7.1.5 in [3]) may give an opportunity to increase luminosity by an additional one or two orders, resulting in L_{ep} exceeding $10^{34}\, cm^{-2} s^{-1}$. Unfortunately, this scheme can not be applied at PWFA-LC⊗FCC. Acceleration of ion beams at the FCC [15] will give opportunity to provide multi-TeV center

of mass energy in electron-nucleus collisions. In addition, electron beam can be converted to high energy photon beam using Compton back-scattering of laser photons (see [17]and references therein) which will give opportunity to construct LC⊗FCC based γp and γA colliders (see [18] and references therein).

In conclusion, construction of ILC and PWFA-LC tangential to the FCC will essentially enlarge the physics search potential for both SM and BSM phenomena. Therefore, systematic study of accelerator and detector, as well as physics search potential, issues of LC⊗FCC based lepton-hadron and photon-hadron colliders are essential to foreseen the future of high energy physics.

IV. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study is supported by TUBITAK under the grant No 114F337.

[1] R. Hofstadter and R. W. McAllister, Phys. Rev. 98 (1955) 217. See also Nobel lecture: http://www.nobelprize.org/nobel_prizes/physics/laureates/1961/hofstadter-lecture.pdf.

[2] J. I. Friedman and H. W . Kendall, Ann . Rev . Nucl. Sci. 2 (1972) 203. See also the published versions of the Nobel lectures: R. E. Taylor, Rev. Mod. Phys. 6 (1991) 573; H. W. Kendall, Rev. Mod. Phys. 6 (1991) 597; J. I. Friedman, Rev. Mod. Phys. 6 (1991) 615.

[3] J. L. Abelleira Fernandez et al. (LHeC Study Group), J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 39 (2012) 075001.

[4] Y. C. Acar et al., "FCC based ep and μp colliders"; arXiv:1510.08284v2 [hep-ex].

[5] FCC pp option parameters, https://fcc.web.cern.ch/Pages/Hadron-Collider.asp.

[6] M. Bicer et al. [TLEP Design Study Working Group], JHEP 1401 (2014) 164.

[7] F. Zimmermann, "Challenges for Highest Energy Circular Colliders", KEK Accelerator Seminar, 31 July 2014, Tsukuba, Japan.

[8] S. I. Alekhin et al., "Prospects of the future ep-colliders", IHEP Preprint 87-48 (1987).

[9] The THERA Book (Editors: U. Katz et al.), DESY-LC-REV-2001-062.

[10] R. Brinkmann et al., "Linac-ring type colliders: Fourth way to TeV scale", DESY preprint DESY- 97-239; arXiv:physics/9712023 [physics].

[11] A. N. Akay et al., Int. J. Mod. Phys., A25 (2010), 4589.

[12] C. Adolphsen et al., "The International Linear Collider Technical Design Report-Volume 3. II"; arXiv:1306.6328 (2013).

[13] J-P. Delahaye et al., "A Beam Driven Plasma-Wakefield Linear Collider from Higgs Factory to Multi-TeV", Proceedings of IPAC 2014, p. 3791.

[14] J. Wei, "Evolution of Hadron Beams under Intrabeam Scattering", Proc. 1993 Particle Accelerator Conference, p. 3653.

[15] M. Schaumann, Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams 18 (2015); arXiv:1503.09107 [physics.acc-ph].

[16] R. Brinkmann and M. Dohlus, "A method to overcome the bunch length limitations on β_p^* for electron-proton colliders", DESY-M-95-11 (1995).

[17] V. I. Telnov, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 455 (2000) 63.

[18] S. Sultansoy, "The post-HERA era: brief review of future lepton-hadron and photon-hadron colliders," DESY 99-159; arXiv:hep-ph/9911417v2.